arXiv:1410.7337v1 [math.NT] 27 Oct 2014

PERIODS OF THE j-FUNCTION ALONG INFINITE GEODESICS AND MOCK MODULAR FORMS

NICKOLAS ANDERSEN

Abstract. Zagier's well-known work on traces of singular moduli relates the coefficients of certain weakly holomorphic modular forms of weight $1/2$ to traces of values of the modular j-function at imaginary quadratic points. A real quadratic analogue was recently studied by Duke, Imamoḡlu, and Tóth. They showed that the coefficients of certain weight $1/2$ mock modular forms

$$f_D = \sum_{d>0} a(d,D) q^d, \qquad D > 0$$

are given in terms of traces of cycle integrals of the j-function. Their result applies to those coefficients $a(d,D)$ for which dD is not a square. Recently Bruinier, Funke, and Imamoḡlu employed a regularized theta lift to show that the coefficients $a(d,D)$ for square dD are traces of regularized integrals of the j-function. In the present paper we provide an alternate approach to this problem. We introduce functions $j_{m,Q}$ (for Q a quadratic form) which are related to the j-function and show, by modifying the method of Duke, Imamoḡlu, and Tóth, that the coefficients for which dD is a square are traces of cycle integrals of the functions $j_{m,Q}$.

1. Introduction

For a nonzero integer $d \equiv 0, 1 \pmod 4$, let $\mathcal{Q}_d$ denote the set of binary quadratic forms $Q(x,y) = [a,b,c] = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$ with discriminant $b^2 - 4ac = d$ which are positive definite if $d < 0$. The modular group $\Gamma = \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ acts on these forms in the usual way, resulting in finitely many classes $\Gamma\backslash\mathcal{Q}_d$.

If $d < 0$ and $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_d$ then $Q(x,1)$ has exactly one root τ_Q in $\mathbb{H}$, namely

$$\tau_Q = \frac{-b + \sqrt{d}}{2a}.$$

The values of the modular j-invariant

$$j(\tau) := \frac{1}{q} + 744 + 196884q + \cdots, \qquad q := e^{2\pi i \tau}$$

at the points τ_Q are called *singular moduli*; they are algebraic integers which play many important roles in number theory. For instance, when d is a fundamental discriminant (i.e. the discriminant of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$), the field $\mathbb{Q}(j(\tau_Q))$ is the Hilbert class field of $\mathbb{Q}(\tau_Q)$.

For $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_d$, let Γ_Q denote the stabilizer of Q in Γ. Then $\Gamma_Q = \{1\}$ unless $Q \sim [a,0,a]$ or $Q \sim [a,a,a]$, in which case it has order 2 or 3, respectively. For $f \in \mathbb{C}[j]$, we define the modular trace of f by

$$\mathrm{Tr}_d(f) := \sum_{Q \in \Gamma\backslash\mathcal{Q}_d} \frac{1}{|\Gamma_Q|} f(\tau_Q). \tag{1.1}$$

A well-known theorem of Zagier [8] states that, for $j_1 := j - 744$, the series

$$g_1(\tau) := \frac{1}{q} - 2 - \sum_{0 > d \equiv 0,1 (4)} \mathrm{Tr}_d(j_1)\, q^{-d}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F30.

is in $M^!_{3/2}$, the space of weakly holomorphic modular forms of weight $3/2$ on $\Gamma_0(4)$ which satisfy the plus space condition (see Section 3 for details). Zagier further showed that g_1 is the first member of a basis $\{g_D\}_{0<D\equiv 0,1(4)}$ for $M^!_{3/2}$. Each function g_D is uniquely determined by having a Fourier expansion of the form

$$g_D(\tau) = q^{-D} - \sum_{0>d\equiv 0,1(4)} a(D,d)q^{-d}. \tag{1.2}$$

The coefficients $a(D,d)$ with D a fundamental discriminant are given by

$$a(D,d) = -\operatorname{Tr}_{d,D}(j_1),$$

where $\operatorname{Tr}_{d,D}$ denotes the twisted trace

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{d,D}(f) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{D}} \sum_{Q\in\Gamma\backslash\mathcal{Q}_{dD}} \frac{\chi_D(Q)}{|\Gamma_Q|} f(\tau_Q), \tag{1.3}$$

and $\chi_D : \mathcal{Q}_{dD} \to \{\pm 1\}$ is defined in (2.2) below.

If Q has positive nonsquare discriminant, then $Q(x,1)$ has two irrational roots. Let S_Q denote the geodesic in $\mathbb{H}$ connecting the roots, oriented counter-clockwise if $a > 0$ and clockwise if $a < 0$. In this case the stabilizer Γ_Q is infinite cyclic, and $C_Q := \Gamma_Q\backslash S_Q$ defines a closed geodesic on the modular curve. In analogy with (1.3) we define, for $dD > 0$ not a square,

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{d,D}(f) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{Q\in\Gamma\backslash\mathcal{Q}_{dD}} \chi_D(Q) \int_{C_Q} f(\tau) \frac{d\tau}{Q(\tau,1)}. \tag{1.4}$$

Let $\mathbb{M}^+_{1/2}$ denote the space of mock modular forms of weight $1/2$ on $\Gamma_0(4)$ satisfying the plus space condition (see Section 3 for definitions). A beautiful result of Duke, Imamoḡlu, and Tóth [3] shows that the twisted traces (1.3) and (1.4) appear as coefficients of mock modular forms in a basis $\{f_D\}_{D\equiv 0,1(4)}$ for $\mathbb{M}^+_{1/2}$. When $D < 0$, the form f_D is a weakly holomorphic modular form, and is uniquely determined by having a Fourier expansion of the form

$$f_D(\tau) = q^D + \sum_{0<d\equiv 0,1(4)} a(d,D)q^d.$$

The coefficients $a(d,D)$ are the same as those in (1.2). Therefore, when D is a fundamental discriminant, they are given in terms of twisted traces. When $D > 0$ the mock modular form f_D is uniquely determined by being holomorphic at ∞ and having shadow equal to $2g_D$ (see Section 3). Let

$$f_D(\tau) = \sum_{0<d\equiv 0,1(4)} a(d,D)\, q^d.$$

If D is a fundamental discriminant and dD is not a square, then Theorem 3 of [3] shows that

$$a(d,D) = \operatorname{Tr}_{d,D}(j_1).$$

In [3] the coefficients $a(d,D)$ for square dD are defined as infinite series involving Kloosterman sums and the J-Bessel function. The authors leave an arithmetic or geometric interpretation of these coefficients as an open problem.

When the discriminant of Q is a square, the stabilizer Γ_Q is trivial. In this case the geodesic C_Q connects two elements of $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$, but since any $f \in \mathbb{C}[j]$ has a pole at ∞ (which is Γ-equivalent to every element of $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$), the integral

$$\int_{C_Q} f(\tau)\, \frac{d\tau}{Q(\tau,1)} \tag{1.5}$$

diverges. This is the obstruction to a geometric interpretation of the modular trace for square discriminants. In a recent paper, Bruinier, Funke, and Imamoḡlu [2] address this issue by regularizing the integral (1.5) and showing that the corresponding modular traces

$$\mathrm{Tr}_d(j_1) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{Q \in \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{Q}_d} \int_{C_Q}^{\mathrm{reg}} j_1(\tau) \frac{d\tau}{Q(\tau, 1)}$$

give the coefficients of f_1. Their proof is quite different than the argument given in [3] for nonsquare discriminants. It involves a regularized theta lift and applies to a much more general class of modular functions (specifically, weak harmonic Maass forms of weight 0 on any congruence subgroup of Γ).

In this paper we provide an alternate definition of $\mathrm{Tr}_{d,D}$ when dD is a square which does not rely on regularizing a divergent integral. Instead, we show that the coefficients of f_D for square dD are given in terms of convergent integrals of functions $j_{1,Q}$ which are related to j_1. Furthermore, using this definition we show that a suitable modification of the proof of Theorem 3 of [3] for nonsquare discriminants works for all discriminants.

We first define a sequence of modular functions $\{j_m\}_{m \geq 0}$ which forms a basis for the space $\mathbb{C}[j]$. We let $j_0 := 1$ and for $m \geq 1$ we define j_m to be the unique modular function of the form

$$j_m(\tau) = q^{-m} + \sum_{n > 0} c_m(n) q^n.$$

Note that $j_1 = j - 744$ was already defined above.

We define the functions $j_{m,Q}$ as follows. When the discriminant of Q is a square, each root of $Q(x, y)$ corresponds to a cusp $\alpha = \frac{r}{s} \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ with $(r, s) = 1$. Let $\gamma_\alpha := \left(\begin{smallmatrix} * & * \\ s & -r \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \Gamma$ be a matrix that sends α to ∞, and define

$$j_{m,Q}(\tau) := j_m(\tau) - 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \{\text{roots of } Q\}} \sinh(2\pi m \operatorname{Im} \gamma_\alpha \tau)\, e(m \operatorname{Re} \gamma_\alpha \tau),$$

where $e(x) := e^{2\pi i x}$. Note that there are only two terms in the sum. When $dD > 0$ is a square, we define the twisted trace of j_m by

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{d,D}(j_m) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{Q \in \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{Q}_{dD}} \chi_D(Q) \int_{C_Q} j_{m,Q}(\tau) \frac{d\tau}{Q(\tau, 1)}. \tag{1.6}$$

Remark. If α is a root of Q and $\sigma \in \Gamma$, then $\sigma\alpha$ is a root of σQ (see (2.1) below). Since $\gamma_{\sigma\alpha} \sigma = \gamma_\alpha$, we have $j_{m,\sigma Q}(\sigma\tau) = j_{m,Q}(\tau)$. Together with (2.3) below and the fact that $\chi_D(\sigma Q) = \chi_D(Q)$, this shows that the summands in (1.6) remain unchanged by $Q \mapsto \sigma Q$. Therefore $\mathrm{Tr}_{d,D}(j_m)$ is well-defined.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that $0 < d \equiv 0, 1 \pmod 4$ and that $D > 0$ is a fundamental discriminant. With $\mathrm{Tr}_{d,D}(j_1)$ defined in (1.4) and (1.6) for nonsquare and square dD, respectively, the function*

$$f_D(\tau) = \sum_{0 < d \equiv 0,1 (4)} \mathrm{Tr}_{d,D}(j_1)\, q^d$$

is a mock modular form of weight $1/2$ for $\Gamma_0(4)$ with shadow $2g_D$.

It is instructive to consider the special case $d = D = 1$. In this case, there is one quadratic form $Q = [0, 1, 0]$ with roots 0 and ∞, so C_Q is the upper half of the imaginary axis. Then

$$j_{m,Q}(iy) = j_m(iy) - 2\sinh(2\pi m y) - 2\sinh(2\pi m/y),$$

and we have

$$\lim_{y \to 0^+} \frac{j_{m,Q}(iy)}{y} = -4\pi m.$$

Since $j_{m,Q}(iy)/y = O(1/y^2)$ as $y \to \infty$, the integral

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{1,1}(j_m) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty j_{m,Q}(iy) \frac{dy}{y} \tag{1.7}$$

converges. Theorem 1 shows that $\mathrm{Tr}_{1,1}(j_1) = -16.028\ldots$ is the coefficient of q in the mock modular form f_1.

Remark. The regularization in [2, eq. (1.10)] of the integral (1.5) essentially amounts to replacing the divergent integral

$$\int_1^\infty e^{2\pi y} \frac{dy}{y} = \int_{-2\pi}^{-\infty} e^{-t} \frac{dt}{t}$$

by $-107.47\ldots$, which is the Cauchy principal value of the integral

$$\int_{-2\pi}^{\infty} e^{-t} \frac{dt}{t}.$$

If these were equal, we could deduce that

$$\int_0^\infty (2\sinh(2\pi y) + 2\sinh(2\pi/y)) \frac{dy}{y} = 0,$$

so the values of $\mathrm{Tr}_{1,1}(j_1)$ in [2] and (1.7) agree.

The modular traces $\mathrm{Tr}_{d,D}(j_m)$ for $m > 1$ are also related to the coefficients $a(D,d)$. With the modular trace now defined when dD is a square, we obtain Theorem 3 of [3] with the condition "dD not a square" removed. Theorem 1 follows as a corollary.

Theorem 2. *Let $a(D,d)$ be the coefficients defined above. For $0 < d \equiv 0,1 \pmod 4$ and $D > 0$ a fundamental discriminant we have*

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{d,D}(j_m) = \sum_{n|m} \left(\frac{D}{m/n}\right) n\, a(n^2 D, d). \tag{1.8}$$

In Section 2 we recall some facts about binary quadratic forms, focusing on forms of square discriminant. In Section 3 we define mock modular forms and describe the functions $j_{m,Q}$ in terms of Poincaré series. The proof of Theorem 2 comprises Section 4. We follow the proof given in [3] for nonsquare discriminants, modifying as needed when the discriminant is a square.

2. Binary quadratic forms

In this section, we recall some basic facts about binary quadratic forms and the characters χ_D, and we give an explicit description of the classes $\Gamma\backslash\mathcal{Q}_d$ when $d > 0$ is a square. Throughout, we assume that $d, D \equiv 0, 1 \pmod 4$.

Recall that the left action of $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \Gamma$ on $Q(x,y)$ is given by the right action of γ^{-1}; that is,

$$\gamma Q = Q\gamma^{-1} = Q(Dx - By, -Cx + Ay). \tag{2.1}$$

This action is compatible with the linear fractional action $\gamma\tau = \frac{A\tau + B}{C\tau + D}$ on the roots of $Q(\tau, 1)$; if τ_Q is a root of Q, then $\gamma\tau_Q$ is a root of γQ.

Suppose that D is a fundamental discriminant. If $Q = [a,b,c] \in \mathcal{Q}_{dD}$, we define

$$\chi_D(Q) := \begin{cases} \left(\frac{D}{r}\right) & \text{if } (a,b,c,D) = 1 \text{ and } Q \text{ represents } r \text{ with } (r,D) = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } (a,b,c,D) > 1. \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

The basic theory of these characters is presented nicely in [5, Section 2]. It turns out that χ_D is well-defined on classes $\Gamma\backslash\mathcal{Q}_{dD}$ and that

$$\chi_D(-Q) = (\operatorname{sgn} D)\chi_D(Q).$$

If $Q = [a, b, c] \in \mathcal{Q}_d$ with $d > 0$ then the cycle S_Q is the curve in $\mathbb{H}$ defined by the equation

$$a|\tau|^2 + b \operatorname{Re} \tau + c = 0.$$

When $a = 0$, S_Q is the vertical line $\operatorname{Re} \tau = -c/b$ oriented upward. When $a \neq 0$, S_Q is a semicircle oriented counterclockwise if $a > 0$ and clockwise if $a < 0$. If $\gamma \in \Gamma$ then we have $\gamma S_Q = S_{\gamma Q}$. We define

$$d\tau_Q := \frac{\sqrt{d}\, d\tau}{Q(\tau, 1)},$$

so that if $\tau' = \gamma\tau$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we have

$$d\tau'_{\gamma Q} = d\tau_Q. \tag{2.3}$$

When $d > 0$ is a square, we can describe a set of representatives for $\Gamma\backslash\mathcal{Q}_d$ explicitly, as the next lemma shows.

Lemma 3. *Suppose that $d = b^2$ for some $b \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the set*

$$\{[a, b, 0] : 0 \leq a < b\}$$

is a complete set of representatives for $\Gamma\backslash\mathcal{Q}_d$.

Proof. Let $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_d$. We will show that

(1) $Q \sim [a, b, 0]$ for some a with $0 \leq a < b$, and
(2) if $[a, b, 0] \sim [a', b, 0]$ then $a \equiv a' \pmod{b}$.

Since the roots of $Q(x, y)$ are rational, there exist integers r, s, t, u with $(r, s) = 1$ such that

$$Q(x, y) = (rx + sy)(tx + uy).$$

If $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} r & s \\ * & * \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \Gamma$ then $\gamma Q = [a, \varepsilon b, 0]$ for some $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ and some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ k & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)[a, \varepsilon b, 0] = [a - \varepsilon k b, \varepsilon b, 0]$ we may assume that $0 \leq a < b$. Suppose that $\varepsilon = -1$. Let $g = (a, b)$ and define $\overline{a}$ by the conditions $a\overline{a} \equiv g^2 \pmod{b}$ and $0 \leq \overline{a} < b$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} a/g & -b/g \\ * & \overline{a}/g \end{pmatrix} [a, -b, 0] = [\overline{a}, b, 0],$$

and claim (1) follows.

Suppose that $[a, b, 0] \sim [a', b, 0]$. Then there exists $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \Gamma$ with $A > 0$ such that

$$D(aD - bC) = a', \tag{2.4}$$

$$b(AD + BC) - 2aBD = b, \tag{2.5}$$

$$B(aB - Ab) = 0. \tag{2.6}$$

Let $g = (a, b)$. If $aB - Ab = 0$ then $A = a/g$ and $B = b/g$, so (2.5) implies that $AD - BC = -1$, a contradiction. So by (2.6) we have $B = 0$ which, together with (2.5), implies that $AD = 1$. Then (2.4) shows that $a' \equiv aD^2 \equiv a \pmod{b}$. This proves claim (2). □

3. Mock modular forms and Poincaré series

We define mock modular forms following [3] (see also [1], [7], and [9]). Let $k \in 1/2 + \mathbb{Z}$. We say that $f : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ has weight k for $\Gamma_0(4)$ if for all $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \Gamma_0(4)$ we have

$$f\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\right) = \left(\frac{c}{d}\right)^{2k} \varepsilon_d^{-2k} (c\tau + d)^k f(\tau), \tag{3.1}$$

where $\left(\frac{c}{d}\right)$ is the Kronecker symbol and

$$\varepsilon_d := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d \equiv 1 \pmod 4, \\ i & \text{if } d \equiv 3 \pmod 4. \end{cases}$$

We say that $f = \sum a(n)q^n$ satisfies the plus space condition if the coefficients $a(n)$ are supported on integers $n \gg -\infty$ with $(-1)^{k-1/2}n \equiv 0, 1 \bmod 4$. Let $M_k^!$ denote the space of functions which are holomorphic on $\mathbb{H}$, have weight k for $\Gamma_0(4)$, and satisfy the plus space condition.

A holomorphic function $f : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ which satisfies the plus space condition is called a mock modular form of weight $1/2$ if there exists a function $g \in M_{3/2}^!$, called the shadow of f, such that the completed function $f + g^*$ has weight $1/2$ for $\Gamma_0(4)$. Here g^* is the nonholomorphic Eichler integral defined in (1.4) of [3].

In Section 2 of [3], the mock modular forms f_D are constructed explicitly using nonholomorphic Maass-Poincaré series. For $D > 0$ the form f_D is the holomorphic part of $D^{-1/2}h_D$, where h_D is defined in Proposition 1 of [3]. If

$$f_D(\tau) = \sum_{0<d\equiv 0,1(4)} a(d, D)q^d$$

then by (2.15), (2.21), (2.29), and Lemma 5 of [3] we have

$$a(d, D) = (dD)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \lim_{s\to\frac{3}{4}^+} \left(b(d, D, s) - \frac{b(d, 0, s)b(0, D, s)}{b(0, 0, s)} \right), \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$b(d, D, s) = \sum_{c=1}^{\infty} K^+(d, D; 4c) \times \begin{cases} 2^{-\frac{3}{2}}\pi(dD)^{\frac{1}{4}}c^{-1}J_{2s-1}\left(\frac{\pi\sqrt{dD}}{c}\right) & \text{if } dD > 0, \\ 2^{-4s}\pi^{s+\frac{1}{4}}(d + D)^{s-\frac{1}{4}}c^{-2s} & \text{if } dD = 0 \text{ and } d + D \neq 0, \\ 2^{\frac{1}{2}-6s}\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}\Gamma(2s)c^{-2s} & \text{if } d = D = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

Here J_{2s-1} is the J-Bessel function and $K^+(d, D; 4c)$ is the modified Kloosterman sum

$$K^+(d, D; 4c) := (1 - i) \sum_{a \bmod 4c} \left(\frac{4c}{a}\right) \varepsilon_a e\left(\frac{da + D\overline{a}}{4c}\right) \times \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } c \text{ is even}, \\ 2 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

where $\overline{a}$ denotes the inverse of a modulo $4c$. Equation (3.3) shows that $b(d, D, s) = b(D, d, s)$, so for $d, D > 0$ we have

$$a(d, D) = a(D, d). \tag{3.4}$$

To prove Theorem 2 we need to express $j_{m,Q}(\tau, s)$ in terms of certain modified Poincaré series $G_{m,Q}(\tau, s)$. Let $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ be a smooth function satisfying $\phi(y) = O_\epsilon(y^{1+\epsilon})$ for any $\epsilon > 0$, and let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Define the Poincaré series associated to ϕ by

$$G_m(\tau, \phi) := \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma_\infty\backslash\Gamma} e(-m \operatorname{Re}\gamma\tau)\phi(\operatorname{Im}\gamma\tau). \tag{3.5}$$

As in [4] and [6], we make the specialization

$$\phi(y) = \phi_{m,s}(y) := \begin{cases} y^s & \text{if } m = 0, \\ 2\pi|m|^{\frac{1}{2}}y^{\frac{1}{2}}I_{s-\frac{1}{2}}(2\pi|m|y) & \text{if } m \neq 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

where $I_{s-\frac{1}{2}}$ is the I-Bessel function and $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$ (to guarantee convergence). We write $G_m(\tau, s) := G_m(\tau, \phi_{m,s})$ and we define

$$j_m(\tau, s) := G_m(\tau, s) - \frac{2\pi^{s+\frac{1}{2}}m^{1-s}\sigma_{2s-1}(m)}{\Gamma(s + \frac{1}{2})\zeta(2s - 1)}G_0(\tau, s). \tag{3.7}$$

As explained in Section 4 of [3] and Section 6.4 of [2], when $m > 0$ the function $G_m(\tau, s)$ has an analytic continuation to $\operatorname{Re} s > 3/4$, and when $m = 0$ the function $G_m(\tau, s)$ has a pole at $s = 1$ arising from its constant term. The factor multiplied by $G_0(\tau, s)$ in (3.7) is chosen to cancel the

pole of $G_0(\tau, s)$ at $s = 1$ and to eliminate the constant term of $G_m(\tau, 1)$. Furthermore, we have $j_m(\tau, 1) = j_m(\tau)$.

Recall that for $d > 0$ a square and $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_d$, the functions $j_{m,Q}(\tau)$ are defined as

$$j_{m,Q}(\tau) := j_m(\tau) - 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \{\text{roots of } Q\}} \sinh(2\pi m \operatorname{Im} \gamma_\alpha \tau)\, e(m \operatorname{Re} \gamma_\alpha \tau). \tag{3.8}$$

Since $\phi_{m,1}(y) = 2\sinh(2\pi|m|y)$, the two terms subtracted from $j_m(\tau)$ in (3.8) are the terms in the Poincaré series (3.5) corresponding to γ_α for the roots α of Q. It turns out that these are the terms which cause the integral

$$\int_{C_Q} G_m(\tau, 1) \frac{d\tau}{Q(\tau, 1)}$$

to diverge. In analogy with (3.7) and (3.8), we define

$$j_{m,Q}(\tau, s) := G_{m,Q}(\tau, s) - \frac{2\pi^{s+\frac{1}{2}} m^{1-s} \sigma_{2s-1}(m)}{\Gamma(s + \frac{1}{2})\zeta(2s-1)} G_{0,Q}(\tau, s), \tag{3.9}$$

where $G_{m,Q}(\tau, s)$ is the modified Poincaré series

$$G_{m,Q}(\tau, s) := \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma \\ \gamma \neq \gamma_\alpha}} e(-m \operatorname{Re} \gamma\tau) \phi_{m,s}(\operatorname{Im} \gamma\tau).$$

Since the two terms subtracted from $G_0(\tau, s)$ are killed by the pole of $\zeta(2s-1)$, we conclude that

$$j_{m,Q}(\tau, 1) = j_{m,Q}(\tau). \tag{3.10}$$

Therefore, to compute the cycle integrals of the functions $j_{m,Q}(\tau)$, it is enough to compute the cycle integrals of the functions $G_{m,Q}(\tau, s)$.

4. Proof of Theorem 2

Throughout this section we assume that $dD > 0$ is a square. The main ingredient in the proof of Theorem 2 is the following proposition, which computes the traces of the functions $G_{m,Q}(\tau, s)$ in terms of the J-Bessel function and the exponential sum

$$S_m(d, D; 4c) := \sum_{\substack{b \bmod 4c \\ b^2 \equiv dD \bmod 4c}} \chi_D\left([c, b, \tfrac{b^2 - dD}{4c}]\right) e\left(\frac{mb}{2c}\right).$$

See Proposition 4 of [3] for the analogous formula for the traces of the functions $G_m(\tau, s)$.

Proposition 4. *Let* $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$ *and* $m \geq 0$. *Suppose that* $dD > 0$ *is a square. Then*

$$\sum_{Q \in \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{Q}_{dD}} \frac{\chi_D(Q)}{B(s)} \int_{C_Q} G_{m,Q}(\tau, s)\, d\tau_Q = \begin{cases} \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} m^{\frac{1}{2}} (dD)^{\frac{1}{4}} \displaystyle\sum_{c=1}^{\infty} \frac{S_m(d, D; 4c)}{c^{\frac{1}{2}}} J_{s-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\pi m \sqrt{dD}}{c}\right) & \text{if } m > 0, \\ 2^{-s-1} (dD)^{\frac{s}{2}} \displaystyle\sum_{c=1}^{\infty} \frac{S_0(d, D; 4c)}{c^s} & \text{if } m = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $B(s) := 2^s \Gamma(\frac{s}{2})^2 / \Gamma(s)$.

Proof. Let $b = \sqrt{dD}$. By Lemma 3, a complete set of representatives for $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{Q}_{dD}$ is given by

$$\{Q_a = [a, b, 0] : 0 \leq a < b\}.$$

Let $g = (a, b)$. Then the roots of $Q_a = ax^2 + bxy$ in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ are 0 and $\beta := -\frac{b'}{a'}$, where $a' = a/g$ and $b' = b/g$. The corresponding matrices are

$$\gamma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \gamma_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ a' & b' \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, replacing τ by $\gamma^{-1}\tau$ in the integral, we have

$$\sum_{Q \in \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{Q}_{dD}} \chi_D(Q) \int_{C_Q} G_{m,Q}(\tau, s)\, d\tau_Q = \sum_{a \bmod b} \chi_D([a, b, 0]) \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma \\ \gamma \neq \gamma_0, \gamma_\beta}} \int_{C_{\gamma Q}} e(-m \operatorname{Re} \tau) \phi_{m,s}(\operatorname{Im} \tau)\, d\tau_{\gamma Q}.$$

The map $(\gamma, Q) \mapsto \gamma Q$ is a bijection

$$\Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma \times \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{Q}_{dD} \longleftrightarrow \Gamma_\infty \backslash \mathcal{Q}_{dD}$$

which sends $(\gamma_0, [a, b, 0])$ to $[0, -b, a]$ and $(\gamma_\beta, [a, b, 0])$ to $[0, b, g\,\overline{a'}]$, where $a'\overline{a'} \equiv 1 \bmod b'$ and $g = (a, b)$. Since $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & k \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)[0, b, c] = [0, b, c - kb]$, we conclude that

$$\sum_{Q \in \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{Q}_{dD}} \chi_D(Q) \int_{C_Q} G_{m,Q}(\tau, s)\, d\tau_Q = \sum_{\substack{Q \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \mathcal{Q}_{dD} \\ Q \neq [0, \pm b, *]}} \chi_D(Q) \int_{C_Q} e(-m \operatorname{Re} \tau) \phi_{m,s}(\operatorname{Im} \tau)\, d\tau_Q.$$

The remainder of the proof follows the proofs of Lemmas 7 and 8 and Proposition 4 of [3].

Since we have eliminated those terms in the sum with $a = 0$, we can parametrize each cycle C_Q with $Q = [a, b, c]$ by

$$\tau = \begin{cases} \operatorname{Re} \tau_Q + e^{i\theta} \operatorname{Im} \tau_Q & \text{if } a > 0, \\ \operatorname{Re} \tau_Q - e^{-i\theta} \operatorname{Im} \tau_Q & \text{if } a < 0, \end{cases} \qquad 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$$

where

$$\tau_Q := -\frac{b}{2a} + i\frac{\sqrt{dD}}{2|a|}$$

is the apex of the semicircle. We then have

$$Q(\tau, 1) = \frac{dD}{4a} \begin{cases} e^{2i\theta} - 1 & \text{if } a > 0, \\ e^{-2i\theta} - 1 & \text{if } a < 0, \end{cases}$$

which gives $d\tau_Q = d\theta / \sin\theta$. Hence for $a \neq 0$ we have

$$\int_{C_Q} e(-m \operatorname{Re} \tau) \phi_{m,s}(\operatorname{Im} \tau)\, d\tau_Q = e\left(\frac{mb}{2a}\right) \int_0^\pi e\left(-\frac{m\sqrt{dD}}{2a} \cos\theta\right) \phi_{m,s}\left(\frac{\sqrt{dD}}{2|a|} \sin\theta\right) \frac{d\theta}{\sin\theta}. \quad (4.1)$$

Consider the sum of the terms corresponding to Q and $-Q$, where $Q = [a, b, c]$ and $a > 0$. Since $\chi_D(Q) = \chi_D(-Q)$ we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_D(Q) \int_{C_Q} G_{m,Q}(\tau, s)\, d\tau_Q + \chi_D(-Q) \int_{C_{-Q}} G_{m,-Q}(\tau, s)\, d\tau_{-Q} \\ = 2\, \chi_D(Q)\, e\left(\frac{mb}{2a}\right) \int_0^\pi \cos\left(\frac{\pi m\sqrt{dD}}{a} \cos\theta\right) \phi_{m,s}\left(\frac{\sqrt{dD}}{2a} \sin\theta\right) \frac{d\theta}{\sin\theta}. \quad (4.2) \end{aligned}$$

In what follows, we assume that $m > 0$ (the $m = 0$ case is similar). By (3.6) above and Lemma 9 of [3], the right-hand side of (4.2) equals

$$\pi \sqrt{\frac{2m}{a}}\, (dD)^{\frac{1}{4}} B(s)\, \chi_D(Q)\, e\left(\frac{mb}{2a}\right) J_{s-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\pi m\sqrt{dD}}{a}\right).$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{Q\in\Gamma\backslash\mathcal{Q}_{dD}} \chi_D(Q)\int_{C_Q} G_{m,Q}(\tau,s)\,d\tau_Q \\ = \pi\sqrt{2m}(dD)^{\frac14}B(s)\sum_{\substack{Q\in\Gamma_\infty\backslash\mathcal{Q}_{dD}\\ a>0}} \frac{\chi_D(Q)}{\sqrt{a}} e\left(\frac{mb}{2a}\right) J_{s-\frac12}\left(\frac{\pi m\sqrt{dD}}{a}\right).$$

Let $\mathcal{Q}_{dD}^+ = \{[a,b,c]\in\mathcal{Q}_{dD} : a>0\}$. Since $\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1 & k\\ 0 & 1\end{smallmatrix}\right)[a,b,c] = [a,b-2ka,*]$, we have a bijection

$$[a,b,c] \longleftrightarrow (a, b \bmod 2a)$$

between $\Gamma_\infty\backslash\mathcal{Q}_{dD}^+$ and $\{(a,b) : a\in\mathbb{N} \text{ and } 0\le b<2a\}$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{Q\in\Gamma\backslash\mathcal{Q}_{dD}} \chi_D(Q)\int_{C_Q} G_{m,Q}(\tau,s)\,d\tau_Q \\ = \pi\sqrt{2m}(dD)^{\frac14}B(s)\sum_{a=1}^{\infty} a^{-\frac12}J_{s-\frac12}\left(\frac{\pi m\sqrt{dD}}{a}\right)\sum_{\substack{b(2a)\\ \frac{b^2-dD}{4a}\in\mathbb{Z}}} \chi\left([a,b,\tfrac{b^2-dD}{4a}]\right) e\left(\frac{mb}{2a}\right).$$

The latter sum is equal to $\frac12 S_m(d,D,4a)$, so we conclude (after replacing a by c) that

$$\sum_{Q\in\Gamma\backslash\mathcal{Q}_{dD}} \frac{\chi_D(Q)}{B(s)}\int_{C_Q} G_{m,Q}(\tau,s)\,d\tau_Q = \tfrac{\pi}{\sqrt2} m^{\frac12}(dD)^{\frac14}\sum_{c=1}^{\infty}\frac{S_m(d,D;4c)}{c^{\frac12}} J_{s-\frac12}\left(\frac{\pi m\sqrt{dD}}{c}\right). \qquad \square$$

We now complete the proof of Theorem 2, following the proof of Theorem 3 in [3]. Let

$$T_m(s) := \sum_{Q\in\Gamma\backslash\mathcal{Q}_{dD}} \frac{\chi_D(Q)}{B(s)}\int_{C_Q} G_{m,Q}(\tau,s)d\tau_Q.$$

Recall that $d\tau_Q = \sqrt{dD}\,d\tau/Q(\tau,1)$. By (3.9) and (3.4), to prove Theorem 2 we need to show that

$$\sum_{n|m}\left(\frac{D}{n}\right)(m/n)\,a\left(d,\frac{m^2D}{n^2}\right) = (dD)^{-\frac12}\lim_{s\to1}\left(T_m(s) - \frac{2\pi^{s+\frac12}m^{1-s}\sigma_{2s-1}(m)}{\Gamma(s+\frac12)\zeta(2s-1)}\,T_0(s)\right). \tag{4.3}$$

By Proposition 3 of [3] we have

$$S_m(d,D;4c) = \tfrac12\sum_{n|(m,c)}\left(\tfrac{D}{n}\right)\sqrt{\tfrac{n}{c}}\,K^+\left(d,\tfrac{m^2D}{n^2};\tfrac{4c}{n}\right),$$

which, together with Proposition 4, gives

$$T_m(s) = \begin{cases} \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt2}m^{\frac12}(dD)^{\frac14}\sum_{n|m}\left(\frac{D}{n}\right)n^{-\frac12}\sum_{c=1}^{\infty}c^{-1}K^+\left(d,\frac{m^2}{n^2}D;4c\right)J_{s-\frac12}\left(\frac{\pi m\sqrt{dD}}{nc}\right) & \text{if } m>0,\\ 2^{-s-2}(dD)^{\frac{s}{2}}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{D}{n}\right)n^{-s}\sum_{c=1}^{\infty}c^{-s-\frac12}K^+(d,0;4c) & \text{if } m=0. \end{cases} \tag{4.4}$$

Comparing (4.4) with (3.3), we see that

$$T_m(s) = \begin{cases} \sum_{n|m}\left(\frac{D}{n}\right)\,b(d,\frac{m^2}{n^2}D,\frac{s}{2}+\frac14) & \text{if } m>0,\\ \pi^{-\frac{s+1}{2}}2^{s-1}D^{\frac{s}{2}}L_D(s)\,b(d,0,\frac{s}{2}+\frac14) & \text{if } m=0, \end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

where $L_D(s) = \sum_{n>0} \left(\frac{D}{n}\right) n^{-s}$ is the Dirichlet L-function. By (3.2) and (4.5), the left-hand side of (4.3) equals

$$(dD)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \lim_{s\to 1} \left(T_m(s) - \frac{2^{1-s}\pi^{\frac{s+1}{2}} D^{-\frac{s}{2}}}{L_D(s) b(0,0,\frac{s}{2}+\frac{1}{4})} T_0(s) \sum_{n|m} \left(\tfrac{D}{n}\right) b(0, \tfrac{m^2 D}{n^2}, \tfrac{s}{2}+\tfrac{1}{4}) \right).$$

It remains to show that

$$b(0,0,\tfrac{s}{2}+\tfrac{1}{4})^{-1} \sum_{n|m} \left(\tfrac{D}{n}\right) b(0, \tfrac{m^2 D}{n^2}, \tfrac{s}{2}+\tfrac{1}{4}) = \frac{2^s D^{\frac{s}{2}} m^{1-s} \sigma_{2s-1}(m) \pi^{\frac{s}{2}} L_D(s)}{\Gamma(s+\frac{1}{2})\zeta(2s-1)},$$

which follows from Lemma 4 of [3]. □

References

[1] J. Bruinier and J. Funke. On two geometric theta lifts. *Duke Math. J.*, 125(1):45–90, 2004.

[2] J. Bruinier, J. Funke, and O. Imamoglu. Regularized theta liftings and periods of modular functions. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, to appear.

[3] W. Duke, Ö. Imamoḡlu, and Á. Tóth. Cycle integrals of the j-function and mock modular forms. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 173(2):947–981, 2011.

[4] J. Fay. Fourier coefficients of the resolvent for a Fuchsian group. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 293/294:143–203, 1977.

[5] B. Gross, W. Kohnen, and D. Zagier. Heegner points and derivatives of L-series. II. *Math. Ann.*, 278(1-4):497–562, 1987.

[6] D. Niebur. A class of nonanalytic automorphic functions. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 52:133–145, 1973.

[7] K. Ono. Unearthing the visions of a master: harmonic Maass forms and number theory. In *Current developments in mathematics, 2008*, pages 347–454. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2009.

[8] D. Zagier. Traces of singular moduli. In *Motives, polylogarithms and Hodge theory, Part I (Irvine, CA, 1998)*, volume 3 of *Int. Press Lect. Ser.*, pages 211–244. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2002.

[9] D. Zagier. Ramanujan's mock theta functions and their applications (after Zwegers and Ono-Bringmann). *Astérisque*, (326):Exp. No. 986, vii–viii, 143–164 (2010), 2009. Séminaire Bourbaki. Vol. 2007/2008.

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801
E-mail address: nandrsn4@illinois.edu